

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/721,479	11/22/2000	Doris Coit	PP01617.002	2173
75	90 12/09/2002			
ALISA A. HARBIN, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
CHIRON CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - R440			BROWN, STACY S	
P.O. BOX 8097 EMERYVILLE	CA 94662-8097		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
33	,		1648 DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002	27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/721,479	COIT ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stacy S Brown	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION TO THE CONDITION OF T	TION FOR ALLOWA tion. A proper reply a places the applicati	ANCE. to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the sh	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).		
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal.	
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	ımendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-19 and 32.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20-31, 33-4	<u>12</u> .		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examin	ier.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Hay I. Bogn

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 introduces a new limitation, "deletion of at least 200 amino acids" which would require further search and consideration..

HANKYEL T. PARK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER